

Many Boson Realizations of Universal Nonlinear W_∞ -Algebras, Modified KP Hierarchies and Graded Lie Algebras

Feng Yu

*Institute for Theoretical Physics, State University of New York
Stony Brook, New York 11794, U.S.A.*

and

*Department of Physics, University of Utah
Salt Lake City, Utah 84112, U.S.A.*

Abstract

An infinite number of free field realizations of the universal nonlinear $\hat{W}_\infty^{(N)}$ ($\hat{W}_{1+\infty}^{(N)}$) algebras, which are identical to the KP Hamiltonian structures, are obtained in terms of p plus q scalars of different signatures with $p - q = N$. They are generalizations of the Miura transformation, and naturally give rise to the modified KP hierarchies via corresponding realizations of the latter. Their characteristic Lie-algebraic origin is shown to be the graded $SL(p, q)$.

Extended W -algebras arise as fundamental symmetries or constraints in 2d conformal field theories and low-dimensional string theories. In these discoveries, a crucial link, elucidating the rather mathematical formalisms in terms of more intuitive physical constituents, has been the W -algebras' free field realization and moreover their intrinsic connection to simple Lie algebras. On the other hand, W -algebras are isomorphic to the Hamiltonian structures of the integrable KP (KdV) systems, hence their realization naturally provides a field-theoretical construction of the relevant hierarchies. Two important examples are the Miura transformation in the KdV context [1] for W_N [2] and the two boson $\hat{W}_\infty$ realization [3] in the KP basis. The former expresses the W_N generators, defined as the coefficient functions $q_r(z)$ of the differential KdV operator due to the identification of W_N with the second KdV Hamiltonian structure, in terms of the chiral currents of N free bosons $\vec{j}(z) = \vec{\phi}'(z) = (j_1, j_2, \dots, j_N)$:

$$Q = D^N + \sum_{r=0}^{N-2} q_r D^r = \prod_{i=1}^N (D + \vec{h}_i \cdot \vec{j}), \quad D \equiv \partial/\partial z \quad (1)$$

where $\vec{h}_i$ are the fundamental weight vectors of $SL(N)$ satisfying $\vec{h}_i \cdot \vec{h}_j = \delta_{ij} - \frac{1}{N}$. It leads to the solvable W -minimal models [4]. Meanwhile, it allows a $\vec{j}(z)$ -constitution of the KdV hierarchies, which have been called the modified KdV [5]. In the latter case, the generators $u_r(z)$ of $\hat{W}_\infty$ [6], a general homogeneous nonlinear deformation of W_∞ [7] and isomorphic to the second Hamiltonian structure [8] of the KP hierarchy [9], are realized by a pair of free bosonic currents $\bar{j}(z) = \bar{\phi}'(z)$, $j(z) = \phi'(z)$ through the pseudo-differential KP operator:

$$L = D + \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} u_r D^{-r-1} = D + \bar{j} \frac{1}{D - (\bar{j} + j)} j \quad (2)$$

with

$$D^{-1} f(z) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (-1)^l (\partial_z^l f) D^{-l-1}. \quad (3)$$

It was based on eq.(2) that a $\hat{W}_\infty$ current algebra [10,3] and further an infinite set of commuting $\hat{W}_\infty$ charges [11,12] were uncovered in the $SL(2, R)/U(1)$ coset model. Besides, KP hides much richer algebraic structure. By taking the powers of (2) or the

extension of (1) to negative powers

$$L^N = D^N + \sum_{r=-N+1}^{\infty} v_r D^{-r-1} \quad (4)$$

as basic ingredients, nonequivalent KP Hamiltonian structures $\hat{W}_{\infty}^{(N)}$ are generated by $v_r(z)$ with spin, $s = r + N + 1$, ranging from 2 to ∞ , which may even be formally generalized to a family of nonlinear W -infinity algebras [13]. However, there lack both field-theoretical realization and Lie-algebraic interpretation of $\hat{W}_{\infty}^{(N)}$.

In the following, we present an infinite number of free field realizations for $\hat{W}_{\infty}^{(N)}$, including $\hat{W}_{\infty} \equiv \hat{W}_{\infty}^{(1)}$, in terms of p bosons of one signature plus q bosons of the opposite signature with $p - q = N$. They are generalizations of the Miura transformation (1) and the two boson $\hat{W}_{\infty}$ realization (2). Moreover, under these realizations, $\hat{W}_{\infty}^{(N)}$, or rather $\hat{W}_{1+\infty}^{(N)}$ by incorporating a spin-1 current, are reducible with each other, thus are all universal nonlinear W -algebras. Correspondingly, we obtain the many boson realizations of KP, defining the modified KP hierarchies. We further show, via our bosonic realizations, that the characteristic Lie algebra associated with $\hat{W}_{\infty}^{(N)}$ is the graded $SL(p, q)$ [14]. These results have played a key role in justifying the $\hat{W}_{\infty}^{(N)}$ -constraints on the recently constructed $D > 2$ topological strings [15].

Let us proceed as usual in the KP basis in which $\hat{W}_{\infty}^{(N)}$ can be most conveniently exhibited. To be generic, we include the $v_{-N} D^{N-1}$ term in the N -th KP operator (4), which is equivalent to extending $\hat{W}_{\infty}^{(N)}$ to $\hat{W}_{1+\infty}^{(N)}$. The complete structure of $\hat{W}_{1+\infty}^{(N)}$

$$\{v_r(z), v_s(z')\} = k_{rs}^{(N)}(z) \delta(z - z') \quad (5)$$

may be extracted from the bilinear Hamiltonian form

$$K(P) \equiv (L^N P)_+ L^N - L^N (P L^N)_+ = \sum_{r=-N}^{\infty} k_{rs}^{(N)} p_s D^{-r-1} \quad (6)$$

where $P = \sum D^s p_s$ is an arbitrary pseudo-differential operator and the subscript $+$ denotes the purely differential part, and the infinite dimensional operator matrix $k_{rs}^{(N)}$ were given explicitly in closed form in [12,13]. Consider now the free bosonic currents $j_i(z)$ ($i = 1, \dots, p$) and $\tilde{j}_l(z)$ ($l = p + 1, \dots, p + q$) satisfying the Poisson brackets

$$\begin{aligned} \{j_i(z), j_j(z')\} &= \delta_{ij} \partial_z \delta(z - z'), & \{\tilde{j}_l(z), \tilde{j}_k(z')\} &= -\delta_{lk} \partial_z \delta(z - z'), \\ \{j_i(z), \tilde{j}_l(z')\} &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

With $p - q = N$ but q (or $p > N$) remaining arbitrary positive integers, there exist an infinite number of transformations of the N -th KP operator:

$$L^N = D^N + \sum_{r=-N}^{\infty} v_r D^{-r-1} = \prod_{i=1}^p (D + j_i) \prod_{l=p+1}^{p+q} (D - \tilde{j}_l)^{-1}. \quad (8)$$

In this way, the $\hat{W}_{1+\infty}^{(N)}$ currents v_r are functions of $j_i, \tilde{j}_l$ and their derivatives, and the $\hat{W}_{1+\infty}^{(N)}$ brackets (5) are realized according to (7).

To prove the theorem, let us evaluate the brackets between two arbitrary functionals $\oint f(L^N)$ and $\oint g(L^N)$ with L^N in the form of (8),

$$\{\oint f, \oint g\} = \oint \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \frac{\delta f}{\delta j_i} \left(\frac{\delta g}{\delta j_i} \right)' - \sum_{l=p+1}^{p+q} \frac{\delta f}{\delta \tilde{j}_l} \left(\frac{\delta g}{\delta \tilde{j}_l} \right)' \right), \quad (9)$$

where $\delta f / \delta j$ stands for usual variational derivative. To be concise, introduce

$$\frac{\delta f}{\delta L^N} = \sum_{r=-N}^{\infty} D^r \frac{\delta f}{\delta v_r}, \quad (10)$$

followed by

$$\oint Res(\delta L^N \frac{\delta f}{\delta L^N}) = \oint \sum_r \delta v_r \frac{\delta f}{\delta v_r} = \oint \left(\sum_i \delta j_i \frac{\delta f}{\delta j_i} + \sum_l \delta \tilde{j}_l \frac{\delta f}{\delta \tilde{j}_l} \right) \quad (11)$$

where Res means the coefficient of the D^{-1} term, so that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\delta f}{\delta j_i} &= Res \left(\prod_{j=i+1}^p (D + j_j) \prod_{l=p+1}^{p+q} (D - \tilde{j}_l)^{-1} \frac{\delta f}{\delta L^N} \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} (D + j_j) \right), \\ \frac{\delta f}{\delta \tilde{j}_l} &= -Res \left(\prod_{k=p+l}^{p+q} (D - \tilde{j}_k)^{-1} \frac{\delta f}{\delta L^N} \prod_{i=1}^p (D + j_i) \prod_{k=p+1}^{p+l} (D - \tilde{j}_k)^{-1} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Replacing $(\delta g / \delta j_i)'$ by the commutator $[D + j_i, \delta g / \delta j_i]$, etc., and substituting (12) into (9), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \{\oint f, \oint g\} &= \oint \sum_{j=1}^p Res \left\{ \prod_{i=j+1}^p (D + j_i) \prod_{l=p+1}^{p+q} (D - \tilde{j}_l)^{-1} \frac{\delta f}{\delta L^N} \prod_{i=1}^j (D + j_i) \right. \\ &\quad \cdot \left(\prod_{i=j+1}^p (D + j_i) \prod_{l=p+1}^{p+q} (D - \tilde{j}_l)^{-1} \frac{\delta g}{\delta L^N} \prod_{i=1}^j (D + j_i) \right)_+ \\ &\quad \left. - \prod_{i=j}^p (D + j_i) \prod_{l=p+1}^{p+q} (D - \tilde{j}_l)^{-1} \frac{\delta f}{\delta L^N} \prod_{i=1}^{j-1} (D + j_i) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \cdot (\prod_{i=j}^p (D + j_i) \prod_{l=p+1}^{p+q} (D - \tilde{j}_l)^{-1} \frac{\delta g}{\delta L^N} \prod_{i=1}^{j-1} (D + j_i))_+ \} \\
& - \oint \sum_{k=p+1}^{p+q} Res \{ \prod_{l=k}^{p+q} (D - \tilde{j}_l)^{-1} \frac{\delta f}{\delta L^N} \prod_{i=1}^p (D + j_i) \prod_{l=p+1}^{k-1} (D - \tilde{j}_l)^{-1} \\
& \cdot (\prod_{l=k}^{p+q} (D - \tilde{j}_l)^{-1} \frac{\delta g}{\delta L^N} \prod_{i=1}^p (D + j_i) \prod_{l=p+1}^{k-1} (D - \tilde{j}_l)^{-1})_+ \\
& - \prod_{l=k+1}^{p+q} (D - \tilde{j}_l)^{-1} \frac{\delta f}{\delta L^N} \prod_{i=1}^p (D + j_i) \prod_{l=p+1}^k (D - \tilde{j}_l)^{-1} \\
& \cdot (\prod_{l=k+1}^{p+q} (D - \tilde{j}_l)^{-1} \frac{\delta g}{\delta L^N} \prod_{i=1}^p (D + j_i) \prod_{l=p+1}^k (D - \tilde{j}_l)^{-1})_+ \}. \tag{13}
\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that only the first or last term in each part of (13) survives the summations, and (13) turns out to be

$$\begin{aligned}
& \{ \oint f(L^N), \oint g(L^N) \} \\
& = \oint Res [\frac{\delta f}{\delta L^N} \prod_{i=1}^p (D + j_i) \prod_{l=p+1}^{p+q} (D - \tilde{j}_l)^{-1} (\frac{\delta g}{\delta L^N} \prod_{i=1}^p (D + j_i) \prod_{l=p+1}^{p+q} (D - \tilde{j}_l)^{-1})_+ \\
& \quad - \prod_{i=1}^p (D + j_i) \prod_{l=p+1}^{p+q} (D - \tilde{j}_l)^{-1}) + \frac{\delta f}{\delta L^N} (\prod_{i=1}^p (D + j_i) \prod_{l=p+1}^{p+q} (D - \tilde{j}_l)^{-1} \frac{\delta g}{\delta L^N})_+] \\
& = \oint Res [\frac{\delta f}{\delta L^N} L^N (\frac{\delta g}{\delta L^N} L^N)_+ - \frac{\delta f}{\delta L^N} (L^N \frac{\delta g}{\delta L^N})_+ L^N] \\
& = \oint Res [\frac{\delta f}{\delta L^N} K (\frac{\delta g}{\delta L^N})] \tag{14}
\end{aligned}$$

where the equality $\oint Res[P, Q] = 0$ for arbitrary P, Q has been frequently used. Eq.(14) is none but (5) obtained in terms of (7), thus the $\hat{W}_{1+\infty}^{(N)}$ realizations (8) hold.

The above derivation remains valid after an arbitrary mixing of the orders of the $(D + j_i)$ and $(D - \tilde{j}_l)^{-1}$ terms in (8). It gives rise to modified $p + q$ free boson representations of $\hat{W}_{1+\infty}^{(N)}$, in response to different screening charge couplings of j'_i and $\tilde{j}'_l$ in the spin-2 stress tensor v_{-N+1} .

In terms of eq.(8), all $\hat{W}_{1+\infty}^{(N)}$ algebras are mutually reducible. Namely, the $p-1$ plus q boson representation of $\hat{W}_{1+\infty}^{(N-1)}$ can be obtained from the p plus q boson representation of $\hat{W}_{1+\infty}^{(N)}$, by simply, e.g., setting $j_1 = 0$ and multiplying L^N by D^{-1} from the left:

$$L^{(p-1)-q} = D^{-1} L^{p-q} |_{j_1=0} = \prod_{i=2}^p (D + j_i) \prod_{l=p+1}^{p+q} (D - \tilde{j}_l)^{-1}; \tag{15}$$

and in turn the latter may be obtained similarly from the p plus $q+1$ boson representation of $\hat{W}_{1+\infty}^{(N-1)}$:

$$L^{p-q} = L^{p-(q+1)} D \big|_{\tilde{j}_{p+q+1}=0} = \prod_{i=1}^p (D + j_i) \prod_{l=p+1}^{p+q} (D - \tilde{j}_l)^{-1}. \quad (16)$$

The point is that, because j_1 and $\tilde{j}_{p+q+1}$ are *free* currents, neither constraint effects the Poisson brackets (7) of the rest of the currents so that the composite W -structures from (15)-(16) can be naturally derived and coincides with (5).

The realizations of $\hat{W}_{\infty}^{(N)}$ are acquired by just imposing the second-class constraint

$$v_{-N} = \sum_i j_i + \sum_l \tilde{j}_l = 0 \quad (17)$$

on (8) (and on the versions with j_i and $\tilde{j}_l$ terms mixed). They appear, without loss of elegency, as

$$L^N = \prod_{i=1}^p (D + \vec{h}_i \cdot \vec{j}) \prod_{l=p+1}^{p+q} (D - \vec{h}_l \cdot \vec{j})^{-1} \quad (18)$$

where we denote the bosonic currents as a vector $\vec{j} = (j_1, \dots, j_p, -\tilde{j}_{p+1}, \dots, -\tilde{j}_{p+q})$ projected onto the overcomplete set $(\vec{h}_i, \vec{h}_l)$, that have the inner product

$$\vec{h}_i \cdot \vec{h}_j = \delta_{ij} - \frac{1}{p-q}, \quad \vec{h}_l \cdot \vec{h}_k = -\delta_{lk} - \frac{1}{p-q}, \quad \vec{h}_i \cdot \vec{h}_l = \frac{1}{p-q}, \quad (19)$$

obeying $\sum_i \vec{h}_i + \sum_l \vec{h}_l = 0$. An equivalent statement is that the Poisson brackets (7) are modified to

$$\begin{aligned} \{j_i(z), j_j(z')\} &= (\delta_{ij} - \frac{1}{p-q}) \partial_z \delta(z - z'), \\ \{\tilde{j}_l(z), \tilde{j}_k(z')\} &= -(\delta_{lk} + \frac{1}{p-q}) \partial_z \delta(z - z'), \\ \{j_i(z), \tilde{j}_l(z')\} &= \frac{1}{p-q} \partial_z \delta(z - z'). \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

It is obvious that eq.(18) or (8) are a set of generalizations of the Miura transformation (1) for W_N or

$$Q = D^N + \sum_{r=0}^{N-1} q_r D^r = \prod_{i=1}^N (D + j_i) \quad (21)$$

for W_{N+1} . With $\tilde{j}_l = j_{i \geq p-q+1} = 0$, the former reduces to the latter, and the Dirac brackets for the rest of the currents appear exactly the same as the Poisson brackets. From the Hamiltonian point of view, the W_{N+1} (W_N) embeddings to (6) are natural, and the constraints $v_{r \geq 0} = 0$, which are at least linear in $\tilde{j}_l$ or $j_{i \geq p-q+1}$, are actually first class. Combining with the results of last two paragraphs, $\hat{W}_{1+\infty}^{(N)}$ ($\hat{W}_\infty^{(N)}$) all serve as universal nonlinear W -algebras.

The many boson realizations (18) are also generalizations of the two boson realization (2) of $\hat{W}_\infty$, though the latter looks a little different. In particular, with $p = 2, q = 1$, the mixed version of (18)

$$\begin{aligned} L &= (D + \vec{h}_1 \cdot \vec{j})(D - \vec{h}_3 \cdot \vec{j})^{-1}(D + \vec{h}_2 \cdot \vec{j}) \\ &= (D + j_1) \frac{1}{D + j_1 + j_2} (D + j_2) = D + j_2 \frac{1}{D + j_1 + j_2} j_1 \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

is identical to (2) by setting $j_2 \equiv -\bar{j}$, $j_1 \equiv -j$. Note the $\bar{j}, j$ Poisson brackets from (20) are precisely those for a pair of complex free bosons.

Eq.(8) of (18), etc., are automatically various many boson realizations of the KP operator, for example,

$$L = (D + j_1) \frac{1}{(D - \tilde{j}_2)} (D + j_3) \frac{1}{(D - \tilde{j}_4)} \cdots \frac{1}{(D - \tilde{j}_{2s})} (D + j_{2s+1}). \quad (23)$$

Since $\hat{W}_{1+\infty}^{(N)}$ ($\hat{W}_\infty^{(N)}$) are just KP Hamiltonian structures, the KP hierarchy, which is generated by the involutive Hamiltonian charges $\oint H_m(z) = \frac{1}{m} \oint \text{Res} L^m$ ($m \geq 1$),

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial t_m} = [(L^m)_+, L] \quad (24)$$

itself enjoys these realizations. The number of bosons (of different signatures) can be arbitrarily large, thus the realizations are faithful at least formally. We call them the modified KP hierarchies, containing all the modified KdV hierarchies [5] by reduction. On the other hand, we may directly propose a set of well-defined integrable $\vec{j} \equiv (j_i, \tilde{j}_i)$ -hierarchies

$$\frac{\partial \vec{j}}{\partial t_m} = \{\vec{j}, \oint H_m\} \quad (25)$$

with the right side evaluated according to the Poisson brackets of $\vec{j}$. By composition in terms of the realizations, (25) leads to (the equivalent Hamiltonian form of) the

modified KP hierarchies. It enables us to have explicit field-theoretical studies of these hierarchies.

Let us in turn seek a Lie-algebraic interpretation of the $\hat{W}_\infty^{(N)}$ algebras along with that of the KP hierarchy. In fact, this has been implied in eqs.(18-19). We now verify that the set $(\vec{h}_i, \vec{h}_l)$ satisfying (19) are the weight vectors of the fundamental representation of the graded Lie algebra $SL(p, q)$. Let ϵ_i ($i = 1, \dots, p$) and δ_l ($l = p + 1, \dots, p + q$) are orthonormal bases of $p + q$ dimensional linear space with positive and negative metrics respectively. The simple roots of $SL(p, q)$, α_s ($s = 1, \dots, p + q - 1$), are chosen as (upto Weyl-group symmetry)

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_{2m-1} &= \epsilon_m - \delta_m, \quad \alpha_{2m} = \delta_m - \epsilon_{m+1}, \quad (m = 1, \dots, q), \\ \alpha_{2q+n} &= \epsilon_{q+n} - \epsilon_{q+n+1}, \quad (n = 1, \dots, p - q - 1).\end{aligned}\tag{26}$$

The fundamental weights λ_s ($s = 1, \dots, p + q - 1$), satisfying $\lambda_s \cdot \alpha_t = \delta_{st}$, are correspondingly

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_{2m-1} &= \sum_{i=1}^q \left(1 - \frac{1}{p-q}\right) \alpha_{2i-1} + \sum_{i=m}^q \alpha_{2i} + \sum_{i=1}^{p-q-1} \left(1 - \frac{i}{p-q}\right) \alpha_{2q+i}, \\ \lambda_{2m} &= \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_{2i-1}, \\ \lambda_{2q+n} &= \sum_{i=1}^q \left(1 - \frac{n}{p-q}\right) \alpha_{2i-1} + \sum_{i=1}^n i \left(1 - \frac{n}{p-q}\right) \alpha_{2q+i} + \sum_{i=n+1}^{p-q-1} n \left(1 - \frac{i}{p-q}\right) \alpha_{2q+i}.\end{aligned}\tag{27}$$

It follows that the $p + q$ weight vectors $h_s = \lambda_s - \lambda_{s-1}$ ($\lambda_0 = \lambda_{p+q} = 0$) are identical to $(\vec{h}_i, \vec{h}_l)$ in (19). Therefore characteristically, $\hat{W}_\infty^{(N)}$ are associated with the graded $SL(p, q)$ with $p - q = N$ in terms of the KP Lax operator (18).

An immediate implication is that one may, *à la* Drinfeld-Sokolov [1], reconstruct the (modified) KP hierarchy as well as its Hamiltonian structures $\hat{W}_\infty^{(N)}$ according to the (level-1) $SL(p, q) \equiv A(p - 1, q - 1)$ Kac-Moody algebras. One may also obtain different sets of integrable hierarchies of the KP type and $\hat{W}$ -infinity algebras from other series of graded Kac-Moody algebras. Meanwhile, the $SL(p, q)$ origin of $\hat{W}_\infty^{(N)}$ allows us to carry out the quantization of the latter systematically through the Drinfeld-Sokolov reduction or the Casimir construction [16], besides from the transformation (18) directly. Moreover, with the prescription suggested in Ref.[17], one expects to establish solvable

nonlinear $\hat{W}_\infty^{(N)}$ -gravity models via quantum Hamiltonian reduction of gauged $SL(p, q)$ WZW models. Finally, we note that by associating the $SL(p, p-1)$ fundamental weight vectors to the Lax operator of a set of scalar superfields, instead of scalars of different signatures, one gets super W -algebras of the finite type [18]. Thus naturally, *heterotic* W -algebras would arise via relevant $SL(p, q)$ Lax operators. We shall address these problems elsewhere.

Acknowledgements

The author thanks P. van Nieuwenhuizen and Y.-S. Wu for discussions, and is grateful to C. N. Yang for providing a stimulating atmosphere and support. This work is supported in part by NSF grant PHY-9008452.

References

1. V. Drinfeld and V. Sokolov, Journ. Sov. Math. 30 (1985) 1975.
2. A. B. Zamolodchikov, Theor. Math. Phys. 65 (1985) 1205.
3. F. Yu and Y.-S. Wu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 68 (1992) 2996.
4. A. B. Zamolodchikov and V. A. Fateev, Nucl. Phys. B280 [FS18] (1987) 644; V. A. Fateev and S. L. Lykhanov, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A3 (1988) 507.
5. B. A. Kupershmidt and G. Wilson, Invent. Math. 62 (1981) 403.
6. F. Yu and Y.-S. Wu, Nucl. Phys. B373 (1992) 713.
7. I. Bakas, Phys. Lett. B228 (1989) 57; C. Pope, L. Romans and X. Shen, Phys. Lett. B236 (1990) 173.
8. L. A. Dickey, Annals New York Academy of Sciences, 491 (1987) 131.
9. M. Sato, RIMS Kokyuroku 439 (1981) 30; E. Date, M. Jimbo, M. Kashiwara and T. Miwa, in Proc. of RIMS Symposium on Nonlinear Integrable Systems, eds. M. Jimbo and T. Miwa, (World Scientific, Singapore, 1983); G. Segal and G. Wilson, Publ. IHES 61 (1985) 1.

10. I. Bakas and E. Kiritsis, Maryland/Berkeley/LBL preprint UCB-PTH-91/44, LBL-31213 or UMD-PP-92/37, Sept. 1991.
11. F. Yu and Y.-S. Wu, Phys. Lett. B294 (1992) 177.
12. F. Yu and Y.-S. Wu, Utah preprint UU-HEP-92/12; 92/13.
13. J. M. Figueroa-O'Farrill, J. Mas and E. Ramos, preprint BONN-HE-92/20; 92/ α .
14. V. G. Kac, Adv. Math. 26 (1977) 8.
15. F. Yu, Stony Brook/Utah preprint ITP-SB-92-65/UU-HEP-92/22.
16. F. A. Bais, P. Bouwknegt, M. Surridge and K. Schoutens, Nucl. Phys. B304 (1988) 348; 371.
17. K. Schoutens, A. Sevrin and P. van Nieuwenhuizen, Nucl. Phys. B364 (1991) 584; B371 (1992) 315.
18. K. Ito, Nucl. Phys. B370 (1992) 123.